

# Geochemical Systematic Exploration of Stream Sediments in Shurchah Area (SE Zahedan)

**Mohamadgol Kahrazeh**

Received: 16 April 2018 / Received in revised form: 30 July 2018, Accepted: 02 August 2018, Published online: 05 September 2018  
© Biochemical Technology Society 2014-2018  
© Sevas Educational Society 2008

## Abstract

Shurchah area is located 60 kilometers to the southeast of Zahedan. Zahedan granitoides and dioritic dykes have intruded in flyschs of Eocene age. According to factors such as stratigraphy, lithology, tectonics and topological gravity of drainage patterns, 82 stream sediment samples have been taken from streams. Samples were analyzed using ICP-MS and AAS analytical methods. For eight elements Au, Ag, Cu, Pb, Zn, As, Sb and Hg factors such as error, frequency distribution, amount of sensor, background, threshold, anomaly, mean, mode and standard deviation, calculated individually. Among these elements, Sb with an average value of 10 ppm has been considered as anomaly regarding the spatial situation of the anomaly; it was determined to be in the central part of the study area. In addition, strong positive correlation was observed between gold- arsenic and gold- antimony.

**Key words:** frequency distribution, antimony, anomaly, positive correlation

## Introduction

The primary exploration for stream sediments study carried out in such drainage basins under different climate especially with few rains. Each sample can include chemical component of its upstream. Covering of vast area, ease of sampling, preparation and exist of wide aureole distribution are the advantages of method. High probable pollution, river geomorphology changes, data interpretation difficulty and their relation to anomaly source are the disadvantages of method. (Hasani Pak &sharafoddin


2002). The environment and effective process of ore deposition can be determined by geochemical studies of stream sediments. (Yazdi 2003). The sedimentary basin of study area has been divided into small parts due to separating stream sediment related to probable mineralization and those are not related to such mineralization which caused weakening of the anomaly. The geochemical explorations method for stream sediments has been selected due to significance of geochemical studies of stream sediment which can help to determine environment, effective process in mineral explorations, concentration, distribution of elements in sediments and also use of tracer elements for promising area (Hasani Pak &sharafoddin 2002). In stream sediments study method, many of minerals especially sulphide types was unstable and they decomposed because of oxidation and other chemical reaction. Such fact takes an important place in distribution of more minerals. Transportation and distribution of elements depends on their mobility in geochemical environment. According to above reasons concentration changes of some elements such as Au, Ag, Cu, Pb, Zn, As, Hg, Sb in sediments and also relation and distribution of different element in sediment distribution, trace elements identification, exploration and accessibility to expected area are the objectives of this research. The study area located in East Iran Flysch zone (Nehbandan –Khash Zone) (Stocklin 1972). This study covers Zahedan Granite, its age and genesis identification (Camp & Griffis 1981) (sadeghiyan 2007) also has been studied the granite rocks of Zahedan. The geological location and access roads to Shurchah area falls 60Km SE of Zahedan city (Longitude 60° 54' 52"E, Latitude 33° 10' 29"N). The region is hot and arid. The weather is tolerable and the days are mostly sunny, it rains with few stormy periods, each lasting for several days (Figure 1).

---

**Mohamadgol kahrazeh**

Islamic Azad University, Department of Geology, Zahedan Branch, Zahedan, I.R.Iran

**E-mail:** kahrazeh@yahoo.com



**Figure 1:** Geological location and the access roads to study area


### Study methods

#### Sampling and their analyses

The design of sampling grid of this research covers 82 samples for sefid sang sheet with scale 1:50000 and map No. (8148-2) which introduce us the gravity center method. Factors such as stratigraphy, lithology and tectonics controlled the degree of gravity centre. According to definition, gravity centre of drainages are streams in which topologically can divided the drainage basin in two equal parts. If stream itself is not gravity centre, amount (outermost) of streams (with number 1) located in both side are equals therefore, by using gravity centre method design on the map some points with high sampling density should be available. These points are: intrusive mass and its contacts, fault surrounding area in their intersection, alteration zones and areas which are located in upper part of hypabyssal intrusive mass (Hasani Pak & sharafoddin 2002). To provide optimize design of sampling, drainages in a basin should be numbering. The numbering

procedure follows as each division of grid stream attributed to digit one out of the total upstream drainage, therefore digit one is belong to outer drainage and any division that derived from digit one marked as digit two, with continue numbering procedure will reach to the main stream in the outlet of Basin. If numbering is correct the obtained digit number for main stream at the outlet of basin will be equal to the total outer drainages of basin. (Hasani Pak & sharafoddin 2002). Then, the outer streams should be divided to such samples which will take from stream sediment. The obtained answer explains the number of drainages that should be considered for one sample. Thus  $M=N/n$

Where:  $N$  = the outer drainage,  $n$  = the number of samples have to be taken (Hasani Pak & Sharafoddin 2001) During sampling process after selecting a suitable position with the help of topography maps and GPS through middle of streams, we have been taken the newest stream sediment deposits so that the new deviated drainage not connected to main stream (Figure 2).



**Figure 2:** It shows stream sediment sampling position on geological map of area

In this research 500 gram stream sediment samples with -80 meshes have taken and pulverized to -200 meshes. Due to wideness of area and frequency of elements only eight important elements including (Au, Ag, Cu, Pb, Zn, As, Hg, Sb), has been selected for geochemical processing. The main factors in analyze method are to determine the sensed limit. Basically, sensor amount in an element caused disturbance of data analyzing. (Goncalves 1998). In Geochemical explorations the importance and use of numerical values, related to elements is on account of relative comparison of them to each other. To find out anomaly values, numerical values for each element related to its background value obtained, in which these values should be less than anomaly. (wellmer1998). Thus the different elements background value assigned and the suitable analyzing method will be select. In this research owing to sensed limit elements, Gold element has been analyzed by ICP-

MS method and other elements by AAS method analyzed which there were no sensed data in these eight selective elements.

#### Analyzing Error

In order to analytical machines accuracy control usually out of 10-15 samples, one repetitive sample (about 10-15%) has been selected and sent with secret codes to laboratory. Repetitive samples for eight mentioned selective element with rate of 35% has been chosen. Table 1 shows the primary and repetitive data results. With a repetitious analysis of geochemical samples the accuracy process has been studied. At the first steps Microsoft Excel and Thompson diagram used for measuring accuracy consideration (Figure. 3 to 8)

Table 1- Shows the primary (X1) and repetitive(X2) analyses results.

	Au (ppb)	Ag (ppm)	Cu (ppm)	Pb (ppm)	Zn (ppm)	As (ppm)	Sb (ppm)	Hg (ppm)
X <sub>1</sub>	N27=13.3	N27=0.1	N27=29	N27=20	N27=288	N27=58	N27=2	N27=30
	N54=1332	N54=0.1	N54=4	N54=9	N54=6	N54=846	N54=2389	N54=15
	N81=0.5	N81=0.2	N81=10	N81=35	N81=5	N81=2	N81=3	N81=5
X <sub>2</sub>	N27=12	N27=0.1	N27=28	N27=21	N27=287	N27=57	N27=3	N27=28
	N54=1335	N54=0.1	N54=3	N54=10	N54=6	N54=844	N54=2393	N54=15
	N81=0.5	N81=0.2	N81=9	N81=35	N81=5	N81=2	N81=4	N81=5




Figure 3: Shows Thompson diagram of Pb element




Figure 5: Shows Thompson diagram of as element




Figure 4: Shows Thompson diagram of Zn element




Figure 6: Shows Thompson diagram of Sb element




Figure 7: Shows Thompson diagram of Au element




Figure 8: Shows Thompson diagram of Hg element

These charts are logarithmic which mean of values in x-axis and different of values in y-axis has been shown. After plotting the values if 90 % of data are below equivalent line of 10% and 99% of data are below equivalent line of 1%, will have about 10% errors. As we can see in the diagrams all the plotted points are below two curves which shows the errors are less than 10%. (Silver data are less than one impossible to plot in this diagram).

## Discussion

### Outlier Value Test

In statistical discussion such values are significant different to other values are known as outlier value. For calculating the above values subtraction of large number to one value before it method was used. As data are not so extended, therefore this simple correction gives a reasonable result. (Hasani Pak & Sharafoddin 2001).

For example antimony element with a value of 52262 ppm from 80 taken samples is unusual and should be replaced with nearest small value means 38293 for its correction.

$$\Delta = \frac{52262 - 38293}{81} = 172.45$$

Thus, the maximum Antimony grade decreases from 52262 ppm to 52089.5 ppm therefore outlier values of other elements also calculated as shown in Table 2.

### Determination of background, threshold limit, anomaly values

Sometimes, exploration data are asymmetrical with positive skewness, it means samples with low value (i.e. low grad) are abundance as compare to samples with high values (high grad). Therefore data distributions have been transformed, symmetric, and will be close to normal distribution often by using transformed logarithmic function method. (Hasani Pak & Sharafoddin 2001). In data transformation logarithm the base 10 selected and the transformed values was used to calculate mean, variance, and other statistical factors.

Table 2- Outlier Value and their correction

After of correction	next Value	Anomaly value	Element
10534.5	7900.2	10567.5	Au
272.7	149.9	274.2	Ag
14144.2	1770	14299	Cu
33379.4	23730	33500	Pb
79574.6	42709	80008	Zn
60315.7	51170	60430	As
52089.5	38293	52262	Sb
2244.5	1798	2250	Hg

For calculation and determination of background, threshold, anomaly values there is variety of methods which supposed all the data are normal. These values are obtained with the help of mean and standard deviation as follow: (Table.3).

$$< \text{Mean} + \text{Sd} = \text{Background}$$

$$\text{Mean} + 2\text{Sd} = \text{Threshold limit}$$

$$> \text{Mean} + 2\text{Sd} = \text{Anomaly}$$

Table 3- Determination of background, threshold, and anomaly limits of elements

Elements	Au (ppb)	Ag (ppm)	Cu (ppm)	Pb (ppm)	Zn (ppm)	As (ppm)	Sb (ppm)	Hg (ppm)
Background	<2.9	<18.53	<4.83	<9.1	<4.68	<12.7	<11.15	<5.08
Threshold	4.71	22.46	6.43	15.62	5.77	21.96	19.58	9.68
Anomaly	>4.71	>22.46	>6.43	>15.62	>5.77	>21.96	>19.58	>9.68

### Determining raw data stream sediment of elements:

In geochemical data, the existence of abnormal value plays an importance role in selecting of promising area. Therefore, separation of anomal area and background is very important.

Exploration data in an ore deposit scale the Sturge's rule for estimating of class ranges used and has shown as follows:

$$\Delta = \frac{x_{\max} - x_{\min}}{1 + 3.322 \log(n)}$$

Where:

(Xmax) and (Xmin) are the maximum and minimum values of data

N is No. of data

Due to determining raw data stream sediment of elements, statistic factors, frequency histograms and concentration distribute in statistic population for eight raw selective elements have been prepared in Figure. 9 to 13.

Table 4: Statistic factors calculated of raw data

Elements Factors	Au	Ag	Cu	Pb	Zn	As	Sb	Hg
N	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82
Mean	9.3171	2.9462	35.3854	70.2976	31.3263	26.0739	.3243	3.4110
Std. E. M	.66392	.21049	5.15960	7.45702	6.60107	1.09507	.02426	.53489
Median	8.0000	2.5600	20.0000	59.3000	20.0000	26.0000	.3000	2.0000
Mode	10.00	1.00	15.00	47.00	24.00	22.00	.20	1.00
Std. Dev.	6.01208	1.90609	4.67222E1	6.75262E1	5.97752E1	9.91630	.21964	4.84363
Variance	36.145	3.633	2.183E3	4.560E3	3.573E3	98.333	.048	23.461
Skewness	1.197	1.242	3.244	4.785	5.619	.172	1.880	3.903
Std. E. of Skw.	.266	.266	.266	.266	.266	.266	.266	.266
Kurtosis	1.219	1.776	11.651	22.815	32.743	-.640	5.495	20.572
Std. E. of Kurt.	.526	.526	.526	.526	.526	.526	.526	.526
Range	28.00	9.00	265.80	416.00	426.00	45.00	1.29	33.80
Minimum	2.00	1.00	2.00	27.00	7.00	8.00	.01	.50
Maximum	30.00	10.00	267.80	443.00	433.00	53.00	1.30	34.30
Sum	764.00	241.59	2901.60	5764.40	2568.76	2138.06	26.59	279.70




Figure 9- Frequency histogram of Au element




Figure 10- Frequency histogram of Cu element




Figure 11- Frequency histogram of Pb element




Figure 12: Frequency histogram of Zn element




Figure 13- Frequency histogram of Hg element

Table 5: Calculation of elements correlation coefficient using spearman method

Element	Au	Ag	Cu	Pb	Zn	As	Sb	Hg
Au	.219	.728**	.641**	-.006	.126	-.218	.164	1.00
Ag	.117	.069	.292**	.437**	.458**	.270*	1.00	.164
Cu	-.053	-.331*	.176	.656**	.506**	1.00	.270*	-.218
Pb	-.065	-.053	.581**	.705**	1.00	.506**	.458**	.126
Zn	.023	-.162	.422**	1.00	.705**	.656**	.437**	-.006
As	-.029	.449**	1.00	.422**	.581**	.176	.292**	.641**
Sb	.216	1.00	.449**	-.162	-.053	-.331*	.069	.728**
Hg	1.00	.216	-.029	.023	-.065	-.053	.117	.219

As we can see from the above histograms all of them follow (L) distribution and maximum frequency is belongs to few values (Reimam 2005). In the samples, frequency distribution between low to middle values is dispersion, as in Cu element middle values shown more frequency.

The Au histogram more than 50 samples have less than 700 ppb values, 25 samples more than 1ppm and 5 samples have values

near background or less than it. 74 samples had shown the anomaly grade.

The Antimony element, 13 samples are near threshold except for 2 samples from other samples (66 samples) are near anomaly. Stream sediment normal curve of antimony shows that middle frequency is about 300ppm. Frequency of AS element according to positive and strong correlation with Au is similar to gold frequency distribution curve and reasonably it can be antimony


frequency and middle frequency of AS is related to about 35 ppm values.

#### Determining of correlation coefficient of elements


Owing to find out the relation between gold and its associated elements around mineralization and also to identify process of different elements enrichment toward gold anomaly, we have chosen some of elements which their nature and frequency have been important in mineralization mechanism therefore their correlation coefficient calculated with gold element.

Spearman and Pearson methods were used for determining correlation coefficient between variables. Spearman method use when data frequency are not normal but for calculating of correlation coefficient through Pearson's method the data frequency should be normal (wellmer, 2012). Elements correlation coefficient values of both spearman and Pearson's have been shown in Tables 5 respectively.

According to result of raw data, maximum correlation coefficient had shown between Gold and Antimony elements with 0.728 values and Arsenic with value of 0.641. In normal data maximum correlation is belong to Gold while Arsenic has a 0.995 value, it means Arsenic element is a good tracer for Gold and Antimony elements, as with the help of Antimony and Arsenic assemblies estimation can figure out reasonable Gold assembly. (Carranza, 2008). figures14&15 indicated the regression curve of these elements.



**Figure 14:** Shows dispersion of Gold with Arsenic elements along with regression curve




**Figure 15:** Shows dispersion of Gold with Antimony elements along with regression curve

#### Cluster Analysis

The linkage method used for data clustering on account of good connection of samples and variables as compare to return method. All cluster methods are base on resemblances matrix (Davis, 2002). At the first step two samples or variables which are more similar to each other connected and at each repetition similar even cluster will connected together. (Figure 16)

Dendrogram using Average Linkage (Between Groups)



**Figure 16-** Shows Dendrogram related to stream sediments

To identify paragenesis between eight selective elements in dendrogram cluster analysis of Shurchah samples, there is two important paragenesis groups as follow:

1. Zinc – Arsenic – Gold
2. Copper – Antimony – Mercury – Silver

#### Conclusion

1. In the raw data more correlation coefficient is a value of 0.827 between Gold and Antimony element and in normal data more correlation coefficient is a value of 0.995 between Gold and Arsenic.
2. Studies of elements correlation show in spite of strong and positive correlation between Gold, Arsenic and Antimony, Arsenic element can be introduce as Gold and antimony

tracer and the estimation of Antimony and Arsenic piles can give reasonable information of Gold pile.

3. The elements such Copper, Silver and Mercury because of their strong chemical affinity with sulphide have fewer solvencies in water and in compare to other studied elements they are mostly in upstream.
4. According to cluster analysis there are two important group as follow:
  - Zinc – Arsenic – Gold
  - Copper – Antimony – Mercury – Silver

## References

- Hasani Pak, A. (1998). Geostatics, Tehran University Publication, Islamic Republic of Iran, p. 3143
- Hasani Pak, A. (2002). Exploration dada analysis, Tehran university publication, Islamic Republic of Iran, p. 987.
- Sadeghiyan, A., & Valizadeh, M. (2007). Replacement of north part zahedan massive granitoide mechanism, twenty sixth earth science association.
- Camp, V. E., & Griffis, R. J. (1982). Character, genesis and tectonic setting of igneous rocks in the Sistan suture zone, eastern Iran. *Lithos*, 15(3), 221-239.
- Carranza, E. J. M. (2008). *Geochemical anomaly and mineral prospectivity mapping in GIS* (Vol. 11). Elsevier.
- Davis, C. (2002). "Statistics & data analysis in geology", 3<sup>therd</sup>, John Wiley & Sons Inc, New York.
- Goncalves, M. A., Vairinho, M., & Oliveira, V. (1998). Study of geochemical anomalies in Mombeja area using a multifractal methodology and geostatistics. *IV IAMG*, 98, 590-595.
- Yazdi, M. (2003). Conventional methods in geochemical exploration, Shahid Beheshti University Publication, Islamic Republic of Iran, p.180
- Reimann, C., Filzmoser, P., & Garrett, R. G. (2005). Background and threshold: critical comparison of methods of determination. *Science of the total environment*, 346(1-3), 1-16.
- Stöcklin, J., Eftekhari-Nezhad, J., & Hushmand-Zadeh, A. (1972). *Central Lut reconnaissance, East Iran* (No. 22). Geological Survey of Iran.
- Wellmer, F. W. (2012). *Statistical evaluations in exploration for mineral deposits*. Springer Science & Business Media.